arXiv:math/0403210v2 [math.OA] 29 Apr 2004

FREE ANALOG OF PRESSURE AND ITS LEGENDRE TRANSFORM

FUMIO HIAI [1]

Abstract. The free analog of the pressure is introduced for multivariate noncommutative random variables and its Legendre transform is compared with Voiculescu's microstate free entropy.

Introduction

The entropy and the pressure are two fundamental ingredients in both classical and quantum statistical mechanics, in particular, in classical and quantum lattice systems (see [11, 2] for example). They are the dual concepts of each other; more precisely, the entropy function is the Legendre transform of the pressure function and vice versa under a certain duality between the state space and the potential space, and an equilibrium state associated with a potential is usually described by the so-called variational principle (the equality case of the Legendre transform). The free entropy introduced by D. Voiculescu [15, 16] has played a central role in free probability theory as the free analog of the Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy in classical theory. It then would be natural to consider the free probabilistic analog of the pressure. In [8] we indeed introduced the free pressure of real continuous functions on the interval $[-R,R]$ and showed its properties like the statistical mechanical pressure (see Section 1 of this paper).

The aim of the present paper is to introduce the notion of free pressure for multivariate noncommutative random variables and to investigate it in connection with the free entropy. (The contents of Sections 2 and 3 were indeed announced in [7, §§4.4].) In [8] we adopted the Legendre transform of the minus free entropy of probability measures to define the free pressure of real continuous functions. The idea here is opposite; we will first introduce the free pressure of noncommutative multivariables in the so-called microstate approach, and then we will examine what is the Legendre transform of the free pressure.

In Section 2 we define, given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R > 0$, the free pressure $\pi_R(h)$ for selfadjoint elements h of the N-fold full free product C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} := C([-R,R])^{\star N}$ and give its basic properties. In Sections 3 and 4 we consider the Legendre transform $\eta_R(\mu)$ of π_R for tracial states μ on $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ under the duality between the selfadjoint elements and the tracial states. For an N-tuple $(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ of selfadjoint noncommutative random variables in a W^*-probability space $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ such that $\|a_i\| \le R$, a tracial state $\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}$ on $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ can be defined by $\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}(h) := \tau(h(a_1,\dots,a_N))$ for $h \in \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ where $h(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ is the noncommutative "functional calculus" of $(a_1,\dots,a_N)$. We then define the free entropy-like quantity $\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ as $\eta_R(\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)})$ and also $\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) := \sup_{R>0}\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)$. The properties of $\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ are similar to those of Voiculescu's microstate free entropy $\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ while they do not generally coincide. But it is shown that $\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N) \ge \chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ holds and equality arises when $a_1,\dots,a_N$ are free. Also, we have $\eta_R(a_1,a_2) =$

---

[1] Supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)14540198 and by the program "R&D support scheme for funding selected IT proposals" of the Ministry of Public Management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications.

$\chi(a_1, a_2)$ if $a_1 + ia_2$ is R-diagonal (Section 5). In Section 6 we slightly modify π_R to define the free pressure $\pi_R^{(2)}(g)$ for selfadjoint elements g of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ and prove that the quantity $\tilde{\eta}(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ induced from $\pi_R^{(2)}$ via Legendre transform is equal to $\chi(a_1, \dots, a_N)$. In this way, the free entropy can be understood as the Legendre transform of a certain free probabilistic pressure. Finally in Section 7 we consider the Gibbs probability measure on the N-fold product of $\{A \in M_n^{sa} : \|A\| \le R\}$ associated with $h_0 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$, and we examine the asymptotic behavior of its Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy as $n \to \infty$ in relation to $\eta_R(\mu_0)$ of an equilibrium tracial state μ_0 associated with h_0, i.e., a tracial state μ_0 on $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ satisfying $\pi_R(h_0) = -\mu_0(h_0) + \eta_R(\mu_0)$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a tracial W^*-probability space, that is, $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ, and $\mathcal{M}^{sa}$ be the set of selfadjoint elements in $\mathcal{M}$. Let M_n be the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices and M_n^{sa} the set of selfadjoint matrices in M_n. The normalized trace of $A \in M_n$ is denoted by $\mathrm{tr}_n(A)$ and the operator norm of A by $\|A\|$. In [16] D. Voiculescu introduced the free entropy of an N-tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ of noncommutative random variables in $\mathcal{M}^{sa}$ as follows: For each $R > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_R(a_1, \dots, a_N; n, r, \varepsilon) := \big\{&(A_1, \dots, A_N) \in (M_n^{sa})^N : \|A_i\| \le R,\\ &|\mathrm{tr}_n(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}) - \tau(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k})| \le \varepsilon,\ 1 \le i_1, \dots, i_k \le N,\ k \le r\big\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_R(a_1, \dots, a_N) := \lim_{r \to \infty,\, \varepsilon \to +0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \bigg(&\frac{1}{n^2} \log \Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\big(\Gamma_R(a_1, \dots, a_N; n, r, \varepsilon)\big)\\ &+ \frac{N}{2} \log n\bigg), \qquad (1.1)\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}$ denotes the N-fold tensor product of the "Lebesgue" measure Λ_n on M_n^{sa}:

$$d\Lambda_n(A) := 2^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^n dA_{ii} \prod_{i<j} d(\mathrm{Re}\, A_{ij})\, d(\mathrm{Im}\, A_{ij})$$

(the constant $2^{n(n-1)/2}$ comes from the isometric isomorphism between M_n^{sa} and $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$). Then the *free entropy* of $(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ is

$$\chi(a_1, \dots, a_N) := \sup_{R > 0} \chi_R(a_1, \dots, a_N).$$

The definition being based on the microstate (or matricial) approximation, this $\chi(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ is sometimes called the *microstate free entropy* in contrast to another Voiculescu's free entropy $\chi^*(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ in the microstate-free approach in [19].

In the case of a single variable $a \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ whose distribution measure (with respect to τ) is μ, $\chi(a)$ coincides with the free entropy $\Sigma(\mu) := \iint \log|x - y|\, d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y)$ of μ introduced in [15] up to an additive constant:

$$\chi(a) = \Sigma(\mu) + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{3}{4}.$$

With $R > 0$ fixed, let $C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R, R])$ be the Banach space of real continuous functions on $[-R, R]$ with sup-norm $\|\cdot\|$, and $\mathcal{M}([-R, R])$ be the set of probability measures on $[-R, R]$. Consider the dual pairing

$$\mu(h) := \int h\, d\mu \quad \text{for } h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R, R]),\ \mu \in \mathcal{M}([-R, R]).$$

The free entropy $\chi(\mu) := \Sigma(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi + \frac{3}{4}$ being strictly concave and weakly upper semicontinuous on $\mathcal{M}([-R,R])$ (see [9, 5.3.2] for example), it is natural to consider the *Legendre transform* of $-\chi(\mu)$ as follows:

$$\pi_R(h) := \sup\bigl\{-\mu(h) + \chi(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}([-R,R])\bigr\} \quad \text{for } h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R]). \tag{1.2}$$

This $\pi_R(h)$ is the *free pressure* of h discussed in [8]. A fundamental result in the theory of weighted potentials ([14, I.1.3 and I.3.1]) tells us that for each $h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R])$ there exists a unique $\sigma^h \in \mathcal{M}([-R,R])$, called the *equilibrium measure* associated with h, such that

$$-\sigma^h(h) + \chi(\sigma^h) = \pi_R(h).$$

The last equality characterizing σ^h is a kind of the variational principle, a fundamental notion in statistical mechanics. Let us assemble some properties of the free pressure obtained in [8] in the following:

(I) The function $\pi_R(h)$ on $C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R])$ is convex and decreasing, i.e., $\pi_R(h_1) \ge \pi_R(h_2)$ if $h_1 \le h_2$. Moreover,

$$|\pi_R(h_1) - \pi_R(h_2)| \le \|h_1 - h_2\| \quad \text{for all } h_1, h_2 \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R]).$$

(II) Conversely, $\chi(\mu)$ is the (minus) Legendre transform of $\pi_R(h)$, that is,

$$\chi(\mu) = \inf\bigl\{\mu(h) + \pi_R(h) : h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R])\bigr\} \quad \text{for every } \mu \in \mathcal{M}([-R,R]), \tag{1.3}$$

(III) For every $h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R])$, $\pi_R(h)$ is expressed as

$$\pi_R(h) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n(A) + \frac{1}{2}\log n\right), \tag{1.4}$$

where $(M_n^{sa})_R := \bigl\{A \in M_n^{sa} : \|A\| \le R\bigr\}$ and $h(A)$ is defined via functional calculus.

(IV) For each $h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R])$, define a probability measure $\lambda_{R,n}^h$ on $(M_n^{sa})_R$ by

$$d\lambda_{R,n}^h(A) := \frac{1}{Z_{R,n}^h}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A))\bigr)\,\chi_{\{\|A\|\le R\}}(A)\,d\Lambda_n(A)$$

with the normalization constant

$$Z_{R,n}^h := \int_{(M_n^{sa})_R} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n(A),$$

and let $S(\lambda_{R,n}^h)$ be the Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy of $\lambda_{R,n}^h$. Then

$$\chi(\sigma^h) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}S(\lambda_{R,n}^h) + \frac{1}{2}\log n\right).$$

2. FREE PRESSURE π_R FOR MULTIVARIABLES

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R > 0$ we write $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ for the N-fold full (universal) free product C^*-algebra of $C([-R,R])$, i.e., $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} := C([-R,R])^{\star N}$. One can describe $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ in a bit more constructive way as below. Consider the (algebraic) noncommutative polynomial $*$-algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ with noncommuting indeterminates $X_1,\dots,X_N$, where the $*$-operation is given by $X_i^* = X_i$. Let $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$ be the space of selfadjoint polynomials $p = p^*$ in $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$. Obviously, $p(A_1,\dots,A_N) \in M_n^{sa}$ whenever $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$ and $A_1,\dots,A_N \in M_n^{sa}$. One can immediately see that a C^*-norm $\|\cdot\|_R$ is defined on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ by

$$\|p\|_R := \sup\bigl\{\|p(A_1,\dots,A_N)\| : A_1,\dots,A_N \in M_n^{sa},\ \|A_i\| \le R,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\bigr\}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *The* C^**-completion of* $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ *with respect to* $\|\cdot\|_R$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ *by the isomorphism mapping* X_i *to* $f_0(t)=t$ *in the ith copy of* $C([-R,R])$ *of* $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ *for* $1\le i\le N$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A}_R^{(N)}$ be the C^*-completion of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_R$. Since any $*$-homomorphism of $C([-R,R])$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$ is determined by a selfadjoint $a\in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|a\|\le R$ (the image of f_0), what we have to prove is that, for each selfadjoint $a_1,\dots,a_N\in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|a_i\|\le R$, there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\Phi:\mathfrak{A}_R^{(N)}\to B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Phi(X_i)=a_i$, $1\le i\le N$. To do so, it suffices to show that

$$\|p(a_1,\dots,a_N)\|\le\|p\|_R \quad \text{for all } p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle.$$

But this is easy to show. In fact, choose a net of finite-dimensional projections e_k in $B(\mathcal{H})$ with $e_k\nearrow\mathbf{1}$. Then, the above inequality follows because $\|p(e_ka_1e_k,\dots,e_ka_Ne_k)\|\le\|p\|_R$ and $p(e_ka_1e_k,\dots,e_ka_Ne_k)\to p(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ strongly. □

In view of the above proposition, we consider $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ as the C^*-completion of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_R$ and write $\|\cdot\|_R$ for the norm of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ as well. For each selfadjoint $a_1,\dots,a_N\in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|a_i\|\le R$, we can extend $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle\mapsto p(a_1,\dots,a_N)\in B(\mathcal{H})$ to a $*$-homomorphism $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\to B(\mathcal{H})$, written as

$$h\in\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\mapsto h(a_1,\dots,a_N)\in B(\mathcal{H}),$$

which is regarded as the "continuous functional calculus" of the noncommutative N-tuple $(a_1,\dots,a_N)$. In particular, for a single selfadjoint a with $\|a\|\le R$, this reduces to the usual functional calculus $h(a)$ for $h\in C([-R,R])$ $(=\mathcal{A}_R^{(1)})$. There are natural operations on the class of C^*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ $(N\in\mathbb{N},\ R>0)$.

(a) When $R_1<R$, the restriction $f\mapsto f|_{[-R_1,R_1]}$, $f\in C([-R,R])$, induces a $*$-homomorphism $r_{R,R_1}:\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\to\mathcal{A}_{R_1}^{(N)}$. Note that $r_{R,R_1}(p)=p$ for $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$.

(b) For each $R,R_1>0$ the dilation $f\mapsto f((R/R_1)\,\cdot)$ induces a $*$-isomorphism $\rho_{R,R_1}:\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\to\mathcal{A}_{R_1}^{(N)}$. For a polynomial $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ this is written as

$$\rho_{R,R_1}(p)(X_1,\dots,X_N)=p((R/R_1)X_1,\dots,(R/R_1)X_N).$$

In particular, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ is independent (up to a $*$-isomorphism) of the choice of $R>0$.

(c) When $1\le L<N$, $\mathcal{A}_R^{(L)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N-L)}$ are considered as C^*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ under the identification $\mathcal{A}_R^{(L)}\star\mathcal{A}_R^{(N-L)}\cong\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$. For $h_1\in\mathcal{A}_R^{(L)}$ and $h_2\in\mathcal{A}_R^{(N-L)}$ we simply write h_1+h_2 for the sum of h_1,h_2 in $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ under this identification. For polynomials $p_1\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_L\rangle$ and $p_2\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_{L+1},\dots,X_N\rangle$ this is the usual polynomial sum $p_1+p_2\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$.

Let $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ be the selfadjoint part of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$. Note that $h(A_1,\dots,A_N)\in M_n^{sa}$ whenever $h\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and $A_1,\dots,A_N\in M_n^{sa}$ with $\|A_i\|\le R$. It is immediate to see that the function $h(A_1,\dots,A_N)$ on $(M_n^{sa})_R^N$ is continuous, where $(M_n^{sa})_R^N$ is the N-fold product of $(M_n^{sa})_R$. The following definition is the direct multivariate extension of the formula (1.4) (see also (1.1)):

Definition 2.2. For each $R > 0$ and $h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ define

$$\pi_R(h) := \limsup_{n\to\infty}\Bigg(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}(A_1,\dots,A_N) + \frac{N}{2}\log n\Bigg). \tag{2.1}$$

We call this $\pi_R(h)$ the *free pressure* of h (with parameter $R > 0$).

Basic properties of free entropy $\pi_R(h)$ are summarized in the following. This and the above definition extend the properties (I) and (III) in Section 1 to noncommutative multivariables.

Proposition 2.3.

1. *$\pi_R(h)$ is convex on $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$.*
2. *If $h_1, h_2 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and $h_1 \le h_2$, then $\pi_R(h_1) \ge \pi_R(h_2)$.*
3. *$|\pi_R(h_1) - \pi_R(h_2)| \le \|h_1 - h_2\|_R$ for all $h_1, h_2 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$.*
4. *If $R > R_1 > 0$ and $h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$, then $\pi_{R_1}(r_{R,R_1}(h)) \le \pi_R(h)$.*
5. *If $R, R_1 > 0$ and $h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$, then $\pi_{R_1}(\rho_{R,R_1}(h)) = \pi_R(h) + N\log(R_1/R)$.*
6. *Let $h_1 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(L)}\big)^{sa}$ and $h_2 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N-L)}\big)^{sa}$ where $1 \le L < N$, and $h_1 + h_2$ be given as in (c) above. Then $\pi_R(h_1 + h_2) \le \pi_R(h_1) + \pi_R(h_2)$. In particular when $L = 1$, $\pi_R(h_1 + h_2) = \pi_R(h_1) + \pi_R(h_2)$.*

Proof. (1) Let $h_1, h_2 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. For $A_1, \dots, A_N \in (M_n^{sa})_R$ set

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(A_1,\dots,A_N) &:= \exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_k(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big), \qquad k = 1,2,\\ f(A_1,\dots,A_N) &:= \exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n((\alpha h_1 + (1-\alpha)h_2)(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big). \end{aligned}$$

Since the Hölder inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} f\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} &= \int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} f_1^{\alpha} f_2^{1-\alpha}\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\\ &\le \left(\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} f_1\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} f_2\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\right)^{1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} f\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\\ &\quad\le \alpha\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} f_1\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right)\\ &\qquad + (1-\alpha)\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} f_2\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\pi_R(\alpha h_1 + (1-\alpha)h_2) \le \alpha\pi_R(h_1) + (1-\alpha)\pi_R(h_2).$$

(2) is obvious because the assumption implies that $h_1(A_1,\dots,A_N) \le h_2(A_1,\dots,A_N)$ for all $A_i \in (M_n^{sa})_R$.

(3) By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_R$, for any $A_1,\dots,A_N\in(M_n^{sa})_R$ we get

$$|\mathrm{tr}_n(h_1(A_1,\dots,A_N))-\mathrm{tr}_n(h_2(A_1,\dots,A_N))|\le\|h_1-h_2\|_R$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_1(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)&\exp\bigl(-n^2\|h_1-h_2\|_R\bigr)\\&\le\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_2(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\\&\le\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_1(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\exp\bigl(n^2\|h_1-h_2\|_R\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies that

$$\pi_R(h_1)-\|h_1-h_2\|_R\le\pi_R(h_2)\le\pi_R(h_1)+\|h_1-h_2\|_R.$$

(4) is obvious because $r_{R,R_1}(h)(A_1,\dots,A_N)=h(A_1,\dots,A_N)$ for $A_i\in(M_n^{sa})_{R_1}$.

(5) For $h_1:=\rho_{R,R_1}(h)$ and $\alpha:=R_1/R$, since $h_1(A_1,\dots,A_N)=h(\alpha^{-1}A_1,\dots,\alpha^{-1}A_N)$ for $A_i\in(M_n^{sa})_{R_1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(M_n^{sa})_{R_1}^N}&\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_1(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\\&=\int_{(M_n^{sa})_{R_1}^N}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(\alpha^{-1}A_1,\dots,\alpha^{-1}A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\\&=\alpha^{n^2N}\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\end{aligned}$$

thanks to the trivial formula $d\Lambda_n(\alpha A)/d\Lambda_n(A)=\alpha^{n^2}$. Hence $\pi_{R_1}(h_1)=\pi_R(h)+N\log\alpha$.

(6) Since $(h_1+h_2)(A_1,\dots,A_N)=h_1(A_1,\dots,A_L)+h_2(A_{L+1},\dots,A_N)$ for $A_i\in(M_n^{sa})_R$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}&\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n((h_1+h_2)(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\\&=\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^L}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_1(A_1,\dots,A_L))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes L}\\&\qquad\times\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^{N-L}}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_2(A_{L+1},\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N-L}\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n^2}\log&\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n((h_1+h_2)(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}+\frac{N}{2}\log n\\&=\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^L}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_1(A_1,\dots,A_L))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes L}+\frac{L}{2}\log n\\&\quad+\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^{N-L}}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_2(A_{L+1},\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N-L}+\frac{N-L}{2}\log n,\end{aligned}$$

which gives the required inequality. Also, the above equality together with the formula (1.4) (with limit) gives the last assertion. □

3. LEGENDRE TRANSFORM η_R OF FREE PRESSURE

We first define the (minus) Legendre transform of the free pressure π_R in the purely algebraic situation.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ denote the set of selfadjoint linear functionals μ on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ such that $\mu(\mathbf{1})=1$, where the selfadjointness of μ means $\mu(p^*)=\overline{\mu(p)}$ for $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$. For each $R>0$ and $\mu\in\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ define

$$\eta_R(\mu):=\inf\bigl\{\mu(p)+\pi_R(p):p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}\bigr\}.$$

The following is obvious by definition.

Proposition 3.2. *For each $R>0$, $\eta_R(\mu)$ is a concave function on $\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$, and it is upper semicontinuous in the sense that if $\mu,\mu_k\in\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_k(p)\to\mu(p)$ as $k\to\infty$ for all $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$, then*

$$\eta_R(\mu)\ge\limsup_{k\to\infty}\eta_R(\mu_k).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ denote the set of all tracial states on $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$. As is easily seen, $\mu\in\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ can (uniquely) extend to an element of $\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ (in this case we also write $\mu\in\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$) if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:

(a) $\mu\ge0$ in the sense that $\mu(p^*p)\ge0$ for all $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_n\rangle$,
(b) $|\mu(p)|\le\|p\|_R$ for all $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$,
(c) μ is tracial in the sense that $\mu(p_1p_2)=\mu(p_2p_1)$ for all $p_1,p_2\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\mu\in\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ and $\mu\notin\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ (i.e., μ does not extend to an element of $\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$), then $\eta_R(\mu)=-\infty$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mu\in\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ and $\eta_R(\mu)>-\infty$, and we prove that the above conditions (a)–(c) hold.

(a) Suppose $\mu(p^*p)<0$ for some $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$; then for each $\alpha>0$ we get $\pi_R(\alpha p^*p)\le\pi_R(0)$ by Proposition 2.3 (2). Therefore,

$$\eta_R(\mu)\le\mu(\alpha p^*p)+\pi_R(\alpha p^*p)\longrightarrow-\infty\quad\text{as }\alpha\to\infty,$$

a contradiction.

(b) Suppose $|\mu(p)|>\|p\|_R$ for some $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$. We may suppose $\mu(p)<-\|p\|_R$. Since Proposition 2.3 (3) gives $\pi_R(\alpha p)\le\|\alpha p\|_R+\pi_R(0)$ for $\alpha>0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_R(\mu)&\le\mu(\alpha p)+\pi_R(\alpha p)\\&\le\alpha\bigl(\mu(p)+\|p\|_R\bigr)+\pi_R(0)\longrightarrow-\infty\quad\text{as }\alpha\to\infty,\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence we have shown that $|\mu(p)|\le\|p\|_R$ for all $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$. Thanks to this and (a) already proven, it follows that μ extends to a bounded positive linear functional on the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$. Since $\mu(\mathbf{1})=1$, the extended μ has norm one.

(c) It suffices to show that $\mu(i(p_1p_2-p_2p_1))=0$ for all $p_1,p_2\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$. Suppose $\mu(i(p_1p_2-p_2p_1))<0$ for some $p_1,p_2\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_n\rangle^{sa}$. For $\alpha>0$ we get $\pi_R(i\alpha(p_1p_2-p_2p_1))=\pi_R(0)$ because $\mathrm{tr}_n(i\alpha(p_1p_2-p_2p_1)(A_1,\dots,A_N))=0$ for any $A_i\in M_n^{sa}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_R(\mu)&\le\mu(i\alpha(p_1p_2-p_2p_1))+\pi_R(i\alpha(p_1p_2-p_2p_1))\\&=\alpha\mu(i(p_1p_2-p_2p_1))+\pi_R(0)\longrightarrow-\infty\quad\text{as }\alpha\to\infty,\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

The above lemma says that the essential domain $\bigl\{\mu\in\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle:\eta_R(\mu)>-\infty\bigr\}$ is included in $\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$. In the next theorem we show that $\pi_R(h)$ for $h\in\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)^{sa}$ and $\eta_R(\mu)$ for $\mu\in\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ are the Legendre transforms of each other with respect to the Banach space duality $\mu(h)$ for $h\in\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)^{sa}$ and $\mu\in\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)^{*,sa}$, the selfadjoint part of $\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)^*$. In this way, the Legendre transform formulas (1.2) and (1.3) are extended to the noncommutative multivariate setting, where $C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R,R])$ and $\mathcal{M}([-R,R])$ are replaced by $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and $\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$, respectively.

Theorem 3.4.

(1) *For every* $\mu \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$,

$$\eta_R(\mu) = \inf\big\{\mu(h) + \pi_R(h) : h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}\big\}.$$

Hence, $\eta_R(\mu)$ *is concave and weakly* upper semicontinuous on* $\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$.

(2) *For every* $h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$,

$$\pi_R(h) = \sup\big\{-\mu(h) + \eta_R(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)\big\}.$$

Proof. (1) is obvious from Definition 3.1 because $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$ is dense in $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$.

(2) The Legendre transform of $\pi_R(h)$ on $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ with respect to the Banach space duality between $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi_R)^*(\mu) &:= \sup\big\{-\mu(h) - \pi_R(h) : h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}\big\}\\ &= -\inf\big\{\mu(h) + \pi_R(h) : h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}\big\} \quad \text{for } \mu \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\mu \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}$ and $\mu(\mathbf{1}) \neq 1$; then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\mu(\alpha\mathbf{1}) + \pi_R(\alpha\mathbf{1}) = \alpha(\mu(\mathbf{1}) - 1) + \pi_R(0)$$

thanks to $\pi_R(\alpha\mathbf{1}) = -\alpha + \pi_R(0)$. Hence $(\pi_R)^*(\mu) = +\infty$. This and Lemma 3.3 imply that

$$(\pi_R)^*(\mu) = \begin{cases} -\eta_R(\mu) & \text{if } \mu \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big),\\ +\infty & \text{if } \mu \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa} \setminus \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big).\end{cases}$$

Since $\pi_R(h)$ is convex and continuous on $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$, it is the Legendre transform of $(\pi_R)^*(\mu)$ on $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_R(h) &= \sup\big\{-\mu(h) - (\pi_R)^*(\mu) : \mu \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}\big\}\\ &= \sup\big\{-\mu(h) + \eta_R(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)\big\},\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Since $\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ is weakly* compact, for each $h_0 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ there exists a $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ such that

$$\pi_R(h_0) = -\mu_0(h_0) + \eta_R(\mu_0).$$

This equality condition is a kind of variational principle, so we call such μ_0 an *equilibrium tracial state* associated with h_0. We see by the above proof that such a $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ is characterized as an element of $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}$ which supports at h_0 the function $\pi_R(h)$ on $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$. Thus, the general theory of conjugate (or Legendre) functions says (see [5, I.5.3] for example) that the uniqueness of an equilibrium tracial state associated with $h_0 \in \mathcal{A}_R^{sa}$ is equivalent to the differentiability of $\pi_R(h)$ at h_0; or equivalently,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\pi_R(h_0 + tp) - \pi_R(h_0)}{t}$$

exists for every $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \ldots, X_N\rangle^{sa}$ (the above limit then equals $\mu_0(p)$). In the single variable case ($N = 1$), as mentioned in Section 1, there is a unique equilibrium measure σ^{h_0} associated with each $h_0 \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R, R])$ $(= \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(1)}\big)^{sa})$, so that we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{\pi_R(h_0 + th) - \pi_R(h_0)}{t} = \sigma^{h_0}(h) \quad \text{for every } h \in C_{\mathbb{R}}([-R, R]).$$

However, in the multivariate case, it seems quite a difficult problem to show the differentiability of $\pi_R(h)$ (even at $h_0 = 0$ for instance). It might be worth noting a general result that the function π_R is differentiable at points in a dense G_δ subset of $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$. Indeed, this is true for any Lipschitz continuous convex function on a separable Banach space (see the proof of [4, V.9.8]).

4. Quantity $\eta(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ for multivariables

We apply the quantity $\eta_R(\mu)$ for $\mu \in \Sigma\langle X_1, \ldots, X_N\rangle$ to introduce a free entropy-like quantity for noncommutative multivariables in a tracial W^*-probability space $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Definition 4.1. For each $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ define $\mu_{(a_1,\ldots,a_N)} \in \Sigma\langle X_1, \ldots, X_N\rangle$ by

$$\mu_{(a_1,\ldots,a_N)}(p) := \tau(p(a_1, \ldots, a_N)).$$

We further define

$$\eta_R(a_1, \ldots, a_N) := \eta_R(\mu_{(a_1,\ldots,a_N)});$$

namely,

$$\eta_R(a_1, \ldots, a_N) = \inf\big\{\tau(p(a_1, \ldots, a_N)) + \pi_R(p) : p \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \ldots, X_N\rangle^{sa}\big\},$$

and

$$\eta(a_1, \ldots, a_N) := \sup_{R>0} \eta_R(a_1, \ldots, a_N).$$

If $(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ and $R \geq \max_i \|a_i\|$, then we have $\mu := \mu_{(a_1,\ldots,a_N)} \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ because of the the functional calculus $h \in \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \mapsto h(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \in \mathcal{M}$. In this case, $\eta_R(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ is also given as

$$\eta_R(a_1, \ldots, a_N) = \inf\big\{\tau(h(a_1, \ldots, a_N)) + \pi_R(h) : h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}\big\},$$

and we further see that $\{a_1, \ldots, a_N\}''$ $(\subset \mathcal{M})$ is isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\mu\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)''$ by the isomorphism defined by $a_i \mapsto \pi_\mu(X_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, where π_μ is the GNS representation of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ associated with μ. (A related result in connection with Connes' embedding problem is found in [3, §9].)

The next proposition in the single variable case is a direct consequence of (II) in Section 1.

Proposition 4.2. *For every $a \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ with $\|a\| \leq R$,*

$$\eta(a) = \eta_R(a) = \chi(a).$$

The following is obvious by definition and Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 4.3. *For each $R > 0$, $\eta_R(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ is upper semicontinuous on $(\mathcal{M}^{sa})^N$ in strong topology, that is, if $(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ and $(a_1^{(k)}, \ldots, a_N^{(k)})$ are in $(\mathcal{M}^{sa})^N$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i^{(k)} \to a_i$ strongly as $k \to \infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, then*

$$\eta_R(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \geq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \eta_R(a_1^{(k)}, \ldots, a_N^{(k)}).$$

The following properties immediately follow from (4) and (6) of Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 4.4. *Let* $a_1,\dots,a_N\in\mathcal{M}^{sa}$.

(1) *If* $R>R_1>0$, *then* $\eta_{R_1}(a_1,\dots,a_N)\le\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)$.

(2) *For* $1\le L<N$ *and* $R>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N) &\le \eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_L)+\eta_R(a_{L+1},\dots,a_N),\\ \eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) &\le \eta(a_1,\dots,a_L)+\eta(a_{L+1},\dots,a_N).\end{aligned}$$

For Voiculescu's free entropy, it is known that $\chi_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)=\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ whenever $R\ge\max_i\|a_i\|$. However, the similar property for η_R that $\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)=\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ for such R is unknown.

Theorem 4.5.

(1) *For every* $a_1,\dots,a_N\in\mathcal{M}^{sa}$ *and* $R>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N) &\ge \chi_R(a_1,\dots,a_N),\\ \eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) &\ge \chi(a_1,\dots,a_N).\end{aligned}$$

(2) *If* $a_1,\dots,a_N\in\mathcal{M}^{sa}$ *are free and* $R\ge\max_i\|a_i\|$, *then*

$$\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)=\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)=\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N).$$

Proof. (1) Let $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$ and $\delta>0$ be given. One can choose $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $(A_1,\dots,A_N)\in\Gamma_R(a_1,\dots,a_N;n,r,\varepsilon)$ implies

$$|\mathrm{tr}_n(p(A_1,\dots,A_N))-\tau(p(a_1,\dots,a_N))|<\delta.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(p(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\\ &\qquad\ge\exp\bigl(-n^2\tau(p(a_1,\dots,a_N))-n^2\delta\bigr)\,\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl(\Gamma_R(a_1,\dots,a_N;n,r,\varepsilon)\bigr)\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(p(A_1,\dots,A_N))\bigr)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\\ &\qquad\ge-\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}(p)-\delta+\frac{1}{n^2}\log\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl(\Gamma_R(a_1,\dots,a_N;n,r,\varepsilon)\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}(p)+\pi_R(p)+\delta\ge\chi_R(a_1,\dots,a_N).$$

Since $p\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$ and $\delta>0$ are arbitrary, we have

$$\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)\ge\chi_R(a_1,\dots,a_N),$$

which gives the other inequality as well.

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N) &\le \eta_R(a_1)+\cdots+\eta_R(a_N) && \text{(by Proposition 4.4 (2))}\\ &= \chi(a_1)+\cdots+\chi(a_N) && \text{(by Proposition 4.2)}\\ &= \chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)\end{aligned}$$

by the additivity of $\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ in the free case ([16]). The converse inequality is in (1). □

By Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 we notice that $\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ admits the same maximal value as $\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ when restricted on $\|a_i\|\le R$. In fact, the maximum is attained when $a_1,\dots,a_N$ are free and each a_i has the arcsine distribution supported on $[-R,R]$.

Remark 4.6. For $\mu \in \mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ we denote by $\chi(\mu)$ the free entropy $\chi(\pi_\mu(X_1), \dots, \pi_\mu(X_N))$ via the GNS representation π_μ of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ associated with μ. According to [17], if $\chi(a_1, \dots, a_N) > -\infty$ for selfadjoint variables $a_1, \dots, a_N$ in a tracial W^*-probability space, then $\{a_1, \dots, a_N\}''$ is a (non-hyperfinite, even non-Γ) II_1 factor. This shows in particular that if $\mu \in \mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ is not factorial (or not extremal in $\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$), then $\chi(\mu) = -\infty$. Choose two different $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ such that $\chi(\mu_1)$ and $\chi(\mu_2)$ are finite; then for $\mu_0 := (\mu_1 + \mu_2)/2$ we get $\eta(\mu_0) > -\infty$ thanks to the concavity of η (Theorem 3.4 (1)), but $\chi(\mu_0) = -\infty$. Hence, η and χ are not equal in general; $\chi(\mu)$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ is far from concave.

The following two propositions are analogous to [16, Propositions 3.6 and 3.8]. But it does not seem that η enjoys the change of variable formulas established in [16, 18] for χ.

Proposition 4.7.

(1) *If* $A = [\alpha_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^N \in M_N(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N \in \mathbb{R}$, *then*

$$\eta\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{1j} a_j + \beta_1 \mathbf{1}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{Nj} a_j + \beta_N \mathbf{1}\right) = \eta(a_1, \dots, a_N) + \log|\det A|.$$

(2) *If* $a_1, \dots, a_N$ *are linearly independent, then* $\eta(a_1, \dots, a_N) = -\infty$.

Proof. (1) Write $b_i := \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{ij} a_j + \beta_j \mathbf{1}$ for $1 \le i \le N$. Here, assume that A is invertible (the singular case will be seen after proving (2)), and define $\Psi : (M_n^{sa})^N \to (M_n^{sa})^N$ by

$$\Psi(A_1, \dots, A_N) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{1j} A_j + \beta_1 I, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{Nj} A_j + \beta_N I\right).$$

It is known (see [9, 6.2.2]) that $d(\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} \circ \Psi)/d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} = |\det A|^{n^2}$. For each $R > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_N\rangle^{sa}$ set

$$R' := \max_{1 \le i \le N}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N |\alpha_{ij}| R + |\beta_i|\right),$$

$$p(X_1, \dots, X_N) := q\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{1j} X_j + \beta_1 \mathbf{1}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{Nj} X_j + \beta_N \mathbf{1}\right).$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{R'}(q) &\ge \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{\Psi\left((M_n^{sa})_R^N\right)} \exp\bigl(-n^2 \mathrm{tr}_n(q(B_1, \dots, B_N))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right) \\
&= \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\bigl(-n^2 \mathrm{tr}_n((q\circ\Psi)(A_1, \dots, A_N))\bigr)\, d(\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\circ\Psi)\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\left. + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right) \\
&= \pi_R(p) + \log|\det A|
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(q(b_1, \dots, b_N)) + \pi_{R'}(q) &\ge \tau(p(a_1, \dots, a_N)) + \pi_R(p) + \log|\det A| \\
&\ge \eta_R(a_1, \dots, a_N) + \log|\det A|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\eta_{R'}(b_1,\dots,b_N) \ge \eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N) + \log|\det A|.$$

By reversing the roles of $(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ and $(b_1,\dots,b_N)$ we have

$$\eta(b_1,\dots,b_N) = \eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) + \log|\det A|.$$

(2) We may assume that $a_1 = \alpha_2 a_2 + \cdots + \alpha_N a_N$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, since $a_1 = \varepsilon a_1 + (1-\varepsilon)\alpha_2 a_2 + \cdots + (1-\varepsilon)\alpha_N a_N$, we get by (1)

$$\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) = \eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) + \log\varepsilon,$$

implying $\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) = -\infty$. Finally, when A is singular, it follows from (2) just proven that both sides of the equality in (1) are $-\infty$. □

Proposition 4.8. *Let $a_1,\dots,a_N,b_1,\dots,b_N \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ be such that $b_1 = a_1$ and $b_i - a_i \in \{a_1,\dots,a_{i-1}\}''$ for $2 \le i \le N$, and let $R_0 := \max_i \|b_i - a_i\|$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N) &\le \eta_{R+R_0}(b_1,\dots,b_N) \quad \text{if } R \ge \max_i \|a_i\|, \\ \eta_R(b_1,\dots,b_N) &\le \eta_{R+R_0}(a_1,\dots,a_N) \quad \text{if } R \ge \max_i \|b_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N) = \eta(b_1,\dots,b_N).$$

Proof. When $R \ge \max_i \|a_i\|$, as noted just after Definition 4.1, $\mu := \mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)} \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ and $\{a_1,\dots,a_N\}''$ is isomorphic to $\pi_\mu\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)''$ by the isomorphism ψ defined by $\psi(a_i) = \pi_\mu(X_i)$, $1 \le i \le N$. For each $2 \le i \le N$, since $\psi(b_i - a_i) \in \{\pi_\mu(X_1),\dots,\pi_\mu(X_{i-1})\}''$, using Kaplansky density theorem and an argument with functional calculus, one can choose a sequence of selfadjoint elements $h_i^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in $C^*(X_1,\dots,X_{i-1})$ $(\subset \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)})$ such that $\|h_i^{(k)}\|_R \le \|\psi(b_i - a_i)\| = \|b_i - a_i\|$ and $\pi_\mu(h_i^{(k)}) \to \psi(b_i - a_i)$ strongly as $k \to \infty$. Hence, there exists a sequence $p_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_{i-1}\rangle^{sa}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|p_i^{(k)}\|_R \le \|b_i - a_i\|$ and $\psi\big(p_i^{(k)}(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1})\big) = \pi_\mu\big(p_i^{(k)}(X_1,\dots,X_{i-1})\big) \to \psi(b_i - a_i)$ strongly; hence $p_i^{(k)}(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1}) \to b_i - a_i$ strongly as $k \to \infty$. Set $b_1^{(k)} := b_1 = a_1$ and $b_i^{(k)} := a_i + p_i^{(k)}(a_1,\dots,a_{i-1})$ for $2 \le i \le N$. Then $\|b_i^{(k)}\| \le \|a_i\| + \|b_i - a_i\| \le R + R_0$ and $b_i^{(k)} \to b_i$ strongly as $k \to \infty$. Define $\Psi^{(k)} : (M_n^{sa})^N \to (M_n^{sa})^N$, $\Psi^{(k)}(A_1,\dots,A_N) = (B_1,\dots,B_N)$, by $B_1 := A_1$ and $B_i := A_i + p_i^{(k)}(A_1,\dots,A_{i-1})$ for $2 \le i \le N$. Notice that $\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}$ is $\Psi^{(k)}$-invariant: $\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} \circ \Psi^{(k)} = \Lambda_n^{\otimes N}$. If $(A_1,\dots,A_N) \in (M_n^{sa})_R^N$, then $\Psi^{(k)}(A_1,\dots,A_N) \in (M_n^{sa})_{R+R_0}^N$ because of $\|B_i\| \le \|A_i\| + \|p_i^{(k)}\|_R \le R + R_0$.

For each $q \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$, setting $p^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle^{sa}$ by

$$p^{(k)}(X_1,\dots,X_N) := q\big(X_1, X_2 + p_2^{(k)}(X_1),\dots,X_N + p_N^{(k)}(X_1,\dots,X_{N-1})\big),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_{R+R_0}(q) \\ &\quad \ge \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{\Psi\left((M_n^{sa})_R^N\right)} \exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(q(B_1,\dots,B_N))\big)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right) \\ &\quad = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n((q\circ\Psi^{(k)})(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right) \\ &\quad = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(p^{(k)}(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right) \\ &\quad = \pi_R(p^{(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $p^{(k)}(a_1,\dots,a_N)=q(b_1^{(k)},\dots,b_N^{(k)})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau\big(q(b_1^{(k)},\dots,b_N^{(k)})\big)+\pi_{R+R_0}(q) &\ge \tau\big(p^{(k)}(a_1,\dots,a_N)\big)+\pi_R(p^{(k)})\\ &\ge \eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)\end{aligned}$$

so that letting $k\to\infty$ gives

$$\tau(q(b_1,\dots,b_N))+\pi_{R+R_0}(q)\ge\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N),$$

which yields

$$\eta_{R+R_0}(b_1,\dots,b_N)\ge\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N).$$

Since the assumption implies that $a_i-b_i\in\{b_1,\dots,b_{i-1}\}''$ for $2\le i\le N$, the other direction follows by reversing the roles of $(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ and $(b_1,\dots,b_N)$. $\square$

5. EQUALITY $\eta=\chi$ FOR R-DIAGONAL VARIABLES

Let $(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ be a tracial W^*-probability space as before. When x is a non-selfadjoint element in $\mathcal{M}$, Voiculescu's (microstate) free entropy of x is defined as $\chi(a_1,a_2)$ where a_1 and a_2 are the real and imaginary parts of x, i.e., $a_1=(x+x^*)/2$ and $a_2=(x-x^*)/2i$. When a_1 and a_2 are free, we have $\eta(a_1,a_2)=\chi(a_1,a_2)$ by Theorem 4.5 (2). In this section we show that this equality remains true for *R-diagonal* variables. The notion of R-diagonal was introduced by A. Nica and R. Speicher [13], where it was shown that for $x\in\mathcal{M}$ with $\ker x=\{0\}$, x is R-diagonal if and only if it admits the polar decomposition $x=u|x|$ with a Haar unitary u free from $|x|=(x^*x)^{1/2}$.

The "Lebesgue" measure on M_n is defined as

$$d\hat\Lambda_n(X):=\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}d(\mathrm{Re}X_{ij})\,d(\mathrm{Im}X_{ij}).$$

Recall the following correspondences of measures under the transformations of Descartes decomposition and of polar decomposition (see [9, 6.5.4 and 4.4.7]).

(a) Under the transformation $X\in M_n\mapsto(A_1,A_2)\in(M_n^{sa})^2$ with $X=A_1+iA_2$, the measure $\hat\Lambda_n$ on M_n corresponds to the product measure

$$\Lambda_n\otimes\Lambda_n\quad\text{on }(M_n^{sa})^2.$$

(b) Let $\mathcal{U}_n$ be the unitary group of order n and M_n^+ the set of positive semidefinite $n\times n$ matrices. Under the transformation $X\in M_n\mapsto(U,X^*X)\in\mathcal{U}_n\times M_n^+$ where U is the unitary part of X (uniquely determined for non-singular matrices X, the other case being $\hat\Lambda_n$-negligible), $\hat\Lambda$ on M_n corresponds to the product measure

$$\gamma_n\otimes\big(C_n\Lambda_n|_{M_n^+}\big)\quad\text{on }\mathcal{U}_n\times M_n^+$$

where γ_n is the Haar probability measure on $\mathcal{U}_n$ and

$$C_n:=\frac{\pi^{n(n+1)/2}}{2^{n(n-1)/2}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}j!}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *For every $x=a_1+ia_2\in\mathcal{M}$ with $a_1,a_2\in\mathcal{M}^{sa}$,*

$$\eta(a_1,a_2)\le\chi(x^*x)+\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{3}{4}.$$

Proof. For each real polynomial $q(t)$ we set $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, X_2\rangle^{sa}$ by

$$p(X_1, X_2) := q((X_1 + iX_2)^*(X_1 + iX_2)).$$

Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(x^*x) &= p(a_1, a_2), \\ q(X^*X) &= p(A_1, A_2) \quad \text{for } X = A_1 + iA_2,\ A_1, A_2 \in M_n^{sa}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $R \ge \|x\|$, since

$$\big\{A_1 + iA_2 : (A_1, A_2) \in (M_n^{sa})_R\big\} \subset (M_n)_{2R} := \big\{X \in M_n : \|X\| \le 2R\big\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(p(A_1, A_2))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n^{\otimes 2}(A_1, A_2) \\ &\quad \le \int_{(M_n)_{2R}} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(q(X^*X))\bigr)\, d\hat\Lambda_n(X) \quad \text{(by (a))} \\ &\quad = C_n \int_{(M_n^+)_{4R^2}} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(q(A))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n(A) \quad \text{(by (b))} \\ &\quad \le C_n \int_{(M_n^{sa})_{4R^2}} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(q(A))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n(A), \end{aligned}$$

where $(M_n^+)_{4R^2} := \big\{A \in M_n^+ : \|A\| \le 4R^2\big\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_R(p) &= \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(p(A_1, A_2))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n^{\otimes 2}(A_1, A_2) + \log n\right) \\ &\le \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_{4R^2}} \exp\bigl(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(q(A))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n(A) + \frac{1}{2}\log n\right) \\ &\qquad + \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log C_n + \frac{1}{2}\log n\right) \\ &= \pi_{4R^2}(q) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_R(a_1, a_2) &\le \tau(p(a_1, a_2)) + \pi_R(p) \\ &\le \tau(q(x^*x)) + \pi_{4R^2}(q) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the infimum of the above over q yields

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_R(a_1, a_2) &\le \eta_{4R^2}(x^*x) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \\ &= \chi(x^*x) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.2 thanks to $4R^2 \ge \|x^*x\|$, completing the proof. □

Corollary 5.2. *If $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\chi(x^*x) = -\infty$ (in particular, this is the case if $\ker x \ne \{0\}$), then*

$$\eta(a_1, a_2) = \chi(a_1, a_2) = -\infty.$$

Proof. Since

$$\chi(a_1,a_2) \le \chi(x^*x) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4} = -\infty$$

by [9, 6.6.3], Theorem 5.1 gives the conclusion. □

Corollary 5.3. *If $x = a_1 + ia_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ is R-diagonal and $R \ge \|x\|$, then*

$$\eta(a_1,a_2) = \eta_R(a_1,a_2) = \chi(a_1,a_2).$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.2 we may assume $\ker x = \{0\}$; then x admits the polar decomposition as mentioned in the first paragraph of this section. By Theorem 5.1,

$$\eta_R(a_1,a_2) \le \eta(a_1,a_2) \le \chi(x^*x) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4}.$$

But, it is known (see [9, 6.6.8] and [12]) that

$$\chi(a_1,a_2) = \chi_R(a_1,a_2) = \chi(x^*x) + \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4}.$$

Since $\chi_R(a_1,a_2) \le \eta_R(a_1,a_2)$ by Theorem 4.5 (1), we have the conclusion. □

6. MODIFIED QUANTITY $\tilde{\eta}(a_1,\dots,a_N)$

Although the free entropy-like quantity $\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ is different from $\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ as mentioned in Remark 4.6, it is a natural one from the viewpoint of "free variational principle." In this section we introduce a modified version of $\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)$, which is shown to coincide with $\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ in general. For each $R > 0$ we consider the minimal C^*-tensor product $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$, whose norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{R,\min}$. The algebraic tensor product $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ is naturally considered as a (dense) $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$. Let $a_1,\dots,a_N$ be selfadjoint operators in $B(\mathcal{H})$. For $q(X_1,\dots,X_N) = \sum c_{i_1\dots i_k,j_1\dots j_l} X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_k} \otimes X_{j_1}\cdots X_{j_l}$ in $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$, we define

$$q(a_1,\dots,a_N) := \sum c_{i_1,\cdots i_k,j_1\cdots j_l} a_{i_1}\cdots a_{i_k} \otimes a_{j_1}\cdots a_{j_l} \in \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}.$$

When $\|a_i\| \le R$, since the functional calculus $h(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ for $h \in \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ is a $*$-homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$ (see Section 2), one can define the tensor product $*$-homomorphism $\Phi\otimes\Phi$: $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \to B(\mathcal{H})\overline{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}) = B(\mathcal{H}\otimes\mathcal{H})$. We denote $(\Phi\otimes\Phi)(g)$ by $g(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ for $g \in \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$. This extends $q(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ above for $q \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$.

Definition 6.1. For each $R > 0$ and $g \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ define

$$\pi_R^{(2)}(g) := \limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\big(-n^2(\mathrm{tr}_n\otimes\mathrm{tr}_n)(g(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right).$$

Properties of $\pi_R^{(2)}(g)$ is similar to those of $\pi_R(h)$ in Proposition 2.3; for example,

(1) $\pi_R^{(2)}(g)$ is convex on $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$,
(2) $|\pi_R^{(2)}(g_1) - \pi_R^{(2)}(g_2)| \le \|g_1 - g_2\|_{R,\min}$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$.

Definition 6.2. Let $\Sigma^{(2)}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ denote the set of selfadjoint linear functionals ν on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ such that $\nu(\mathbf{1})=1$. For each $R>0$ and $\nu\in\Sigma^{(2)}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ define

$$\eta_R^{(2)}(\nu):=\inf\bigl\{\nu(q)+\pi_R^{(2)}(q):q\in(\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle)^{sa}\bigr\}.$$

One can see as Lemma 3.3 that if $\nu\in\Sigma^{(2)}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ and $\eta_R^{(2)}(\nu)>-\infty$, then $\nu\in\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$, that is, ν (uniquely) extends to a tracial state on $\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}$. Hence the essential domain of $\eta^{(2)}$ is included in $\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$. Furthermore, as in Theorem 3.4, $\pi_R^{(2)}(g)$ for $g\in\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)^{sa}$ and $\eta_R^{(2)}(\nu)$ for $\nu\in\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ are the Legendre transforms of each other with respect to the Banach space duality between $\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)^{sa}$ and the selfadjoint part of $\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)^*$.

Definition 6.3. For each $a_1,\dots,a_N\in\mathcal{M}^{sa}$ define

$$\tilde\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N):=\eta_R^{(2)}(\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}\otimes\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}),$$

where $\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}\in\Sigma\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ was given in Definition 4.1 and $\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}\otimes\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}$ is an element of $\Sigma^{(2)}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle$ defined by the algebraic tensor product of $\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}$ and itself. Furthermore, define

$$\tilde\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N):=\sup_{R>0}\tilde\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N).$$

Note that if $R\ge\max_i\|a_i\|$, then $\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}\in\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$ and so $\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}\otimes\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}\in\mathcal{T}\bigl(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\bigr)$.

Theorem 6.4. *For every $a_1,\dots,a_N\in\mathcal{M}^{sa}$ and $R>0$,*

$$\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)\ge\tilde\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)=\chi_R(a_1,\dots,a_N),$$

$$\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)\ge\tilde\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)=\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.5 (1) it is enough to prove $\tilde\eta_R=\chi_R$. (A direct proof of $\eta_R\ge\tilde\eta_R$ is also easy from definition.) For each $q\in(\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle\otimes\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\dots,X_N\rangle)^{sa}$ notice that

$$(\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}\otimes\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)})(q)=(\tau\otimes\tau)(q(a_1,\dots,a_N))$$

is a polynomial (of at most order 2) of mixed moments $\tau(a_{i_1}\cdots a_{i_k})$ with $k\le K$ for some $K\in\mathbb{N}$. This is same for $(\mathrm{tr}_n\otimes\mathrm{tr}_n)(q(A_1,\dots,A_N))$ with $A_1,\dots,A_N\in M_n^{sa}$. Thus, for any $\delta>0$, one can choose $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, if $(A_1,\dots,A_N)\in\Gamma_R(a_1,\dots,a_N;n,r,\varepsilon)$ then

$$\bigl|(\mathrm{tr}_n\otimes\mathrm{tr}_n)(q(A_1,\dots,A_N))-(\tau\otimes\tau)(q(a_1,\dots,a_N))\bigr|<\delta.$$

Then, the proof of $\tilde\eta_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)\ge\chi_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ is the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.5 (1).

To prove the converse inequality, let $\alpha>\chi_R(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ and $\beta>0$. There exist $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\biggl(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl(\Gamma_R(a_1,\dots,a_N;n,r,\varepsilon)\bigr)+\frac{N}{2}\log n\biggr)<\alpha.$$

Set

$$I:=\{(i_1,\dots,i_k):1\le i_1,\dots,i_k\le N,\ 1\le k\le r\}$$

and define $q \in (\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_N\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_N\rangle)^{sa}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &q(X_1, \dots, X_N) \\ &\quad := \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_k)\in I} \bigl(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k} - \tau(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k})\mathbf{1}\bigr) \otimes \bigl(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k} - \tau(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k})\mathbf{1}\bigr)^*. \end{aligned}$$

We notice $(\tau \otimes \tau)(q(a_1, \dots a_N)) = 0$ and

$$(\mathrm{tr}_n \otimes \mathrm{tr}_n)(q(A_1, \dots, A_N)) = \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_k)\in I} \bigl|\mathrm{tr}_n(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}) - \tau(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k})\bigr|^2$$

for $A_1, \dots, A_N \in M_n^{sa}$. Since

$$(\mathrm{tr}_n \otimes \mathrm{tr}_n)(q(A_1, \dots, A_N)) \geq \beta \quad \text{if } (A_1, \dots, A_N) \notin \Gamma_R(a_1, \dots, a_N; n, r, \varepsilon),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\bigl(-n^2(\mathrm{tr}_n \otimes \mathrm{tr}_n)(q(A_1, \dots, A_N))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} \\ &\qquad \leq \Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl(\Gamma_R(a_1, \dots, a_N; n, r, \varepsilon)\bigr) + e^{-n^2\beta}\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl((M_n^{sa})_R^N\bigr) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\biggl(\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\bigl(-n^2(\mathrm{tr}_n \otimes \mathrm{tr}_n)(q(A_1, \dots, A_N))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\biggr)^{1/n^2} \\ &\qquad \leq \Bigl(\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl(\Gamma_R(a_1, \dots, a_N; n, r, \varepsilon)\bigr)\Bigr)^{1/n^2} + e^{-\beta}\Bigl(\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl((M_n^{sa})_R^N\bigr)\Bigr)^{1/n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{N/2}\Bigl(\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl(\Gamma_R(a_1, \dots, a_N; n, r, \varepsilon)\bigr)\Bigr)^{1/n^2} \leq e^{\alpha}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{N/2}\Bigl(\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\bigl((M_n^{sa})_R^N\bigr)\Bigr)^{1/n^2} &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\Bigl(n^{1/2}\Bigl(\Lambda_n\bigl((M_n^{sa})_R\bigr)\Bigr)^{1/n^2}\Bigr)^N \\ &= \Bigl(R(\pi/2)^{1/2}e^{3/4}\Bigr)^N \end{aligned}$$

(see [9, §5.6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{N/2}\biggl(\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\bigl(-n^2(\mathrm{tr}_n \otimes \mathrm{tr}_n)(q(A_1, \dots, A_N))\bigr)\, d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}\biggr)^{1/n^2} \\ &\qquad \leq e^{\alpha} + e^{-\beta}\Bigl(R(\pi/2)^{1/2}e^{3/4}\Bigr)^N \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\pi_R^{(2)}(q) \leq \alpha + \log\Bigl(1 + e^{-\alpha-\beta}\Bigl(R(\pi/2)^{1/2}e^{3/4}\Bigr)^N\Bigr).$$

Since $(\tau \otimes \tau)(q(a_1, \dots, a_N)) = 0$, this implies that

$$\tilde{\eta}_R(a_1, \dots, a_N) \leq \alpha + \log\Bigl(1 + e^{-\alpha-\beta}\Bigl(R(\pi/2)^{1/2}e^{3/4}\Bigr)^N\Bigr).$$

Letting $\beta \to +\infty$ and then $\alpha \searrow \chi_R(a_1, \dots, a_N)$ we obtain $\tilde{\eta}_R(a_1, \dots, a_N) \leq \chi_R(a_1, \dots, a_N)$, completing the proof. □

Some known properties of $\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ may be shown based on Theorem 6.4. For instance, the change of variable formulas in [16, 18] can be proven for $\tilde\eta(a_1,\dots,a_N)$ in a bit more easily than for $\chi(a_1,\dots,a_N)$.

7. Gibbs ensemble asymptotics

For each $R>0$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we define the "micro" pressure function $P_{R,n}(h)$ for $h\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ by

$$P_{R,n}(h):=\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}(A_1,\dots,A_N).$$

This is nothing but the usual (classical) pressure of the continuous function $n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A_1,\dots,A_N))$ on $(M_n^{sa})_R^N$. The definition (2.1) is

$$\pi_R(h)=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\biggl(\frac{1}{n^2}P_{R,n}(h)+\frac{N}{2}\log n\biggr)\quad\text{for } h\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}. \tag{7.1}$$

For each $h_0\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ we define the Gibbs probability measure $\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}$ on $(M_n^{sa})_R^N$ corresponding to the function $n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_0(A_1,\dots,A_N))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}(A_1,\dots,A_N)\quad &:=\quad \frac{1}{Z_{R,n}^{h_0}}\exp\big(-n^2\mathrm{tr}_n(h_0(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big)\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\chi_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}(A_1,\dots,A_N)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}(A_1,\dots,A_N),\end{aligned}$$

where the normalization constant $Z_{R,n}^{h_0}:=\exp\big(P_{R,n}(h_0)\big)$. Furthermore, we define

$$\mu_{R,n}^{h_0}(h):=\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\mathrm{tr}_n(h(A_1,\dots,A_N))\,d\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}(A_1,\dots,A_N)\quad\text{for } h\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}.$$

It is immediate to see that $\mu_{R,n}^{h_0}\in\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$. The next lemma is elementary and well known.

Lemma 7.1. *With the above definitions, the function $P_{R,n}$ is convex on $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and differentiable at any $h_0\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$. The supporting function of $P_{R,n}$ at h_0 is*

$$-n^2\mu_{R,n}^{h_0}(h)+S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}),\qquad h\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa},$$

where $S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0})$ is the Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy:

$$S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}):=-\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N}\frac{d\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}}{d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}}\log\frac{d\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}}{d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}}\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N}.$$

Proposition 7.2. *Let $h_0\in\mathcal{A}_R^{sa}$.*

1. *There exists an equilibrium tracial state $\mu_0\in\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ associated with h_0 such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\biggl(\frac{1}{n^2}S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0})+\frac{N}{2}\log n\biggr)\le\eta_R(\mu_0)\le\limsup_{n\to\infty}\biggl(\frac{1}{n^2}S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0})+\frac{N}{2}\log n\biggr).$$

2. *Assume that the limit*

$$\pi_R(h_0)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\biggl(\frac{1}{n^2}P_{R,n}(h_0)+\frac{N}{2}\log n\biggr)$$

exists. Then any limit point of the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{n^2}S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0})+\frac{N}{2}\log n\right\}$ *is attained as the value* $\eta_R(\mu_0)$ *of some equilibrium tracial state* μ_0 *associated with* h_0*. In particular, if* μ_0 *is a unique equilibrium tracial state associated with* h_0*, then*

$$\eta_R(\mu_0)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0})+\frac{N}{2}\log n\right). \tag{7.2}$$

Proof. (1) First, note that $\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ is a compact metrizable space in the weak* topology. Hence, there exists a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$\pi_R(h_0)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n(k)^2}P_{R,n(k)}(h_0)+\frac{N}{2}\log n(k)\right)$$

and $\mu_{R,n(k)}^{h_0}$ weakly* converges to some $\mu_0\in\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$. By Lemma 7.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(h_0)+\pi_R(h_0) &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\mu_{R,n(k)}^{h_0}(h_0)+\frac{1}{n(k)^2}P_{R,n(k)}(h_0)+\frac{N}{2}\log n(k)\right)\\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n(k)^2}S(\lambda_{R,n(k)}^{h_0})+\frac{N}{2}\log n(k)\right)\end{aligned}$$

and for every $h\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0(h)+\pi_R(h) &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\mu_{R,n(k)}^{h_0}(h)+\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}P_{R,n}(h)+\frac{N}{2}\log n\right)\\ &\geq \limsup_{k\to\infty}\left(\mu_{R,n(k)}^{h_0}(h)+\frac{1}{n(k)^2}P_{R,n(k)}(h)+\frac{N}{2}\log n(k)\right)\\ &\geq \lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n(k)^2}S(\lambda_{R,n(k)}^{h_0})+\frac{N}{2}\log n(k)\right)=\mu_0(h_0)+\pi_R(h_0).\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4 (1) this implies that μ_0 is an equilibrium tracial state associated with h_0 and $\eta_R(\mu_0)=\mu_0(h_0)+\pi_R(h_0)$. Hence we have the conclusion.

(2) Let $\{n(k)\}$ be a subsequence of $\{n\}$ for which the limit

$$\alpha:=\lim_{k\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n(k)^2}S(\lambda_{R,n(k)}^{h_0})+\frac{N}{2}\log n(k)\right)$$

exists. We may assume that $\mu_{R,n(k)}^{h_0}\to\mu_0$ weakly* for some $\mu_0\in\mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$. Then, as in the proof of (1), we get $\mu_0(h_0)+\pi_R(h_0)=\alpha\leq\mu_0(h)+\pi_R(h)$ for all $h\in\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$, and the result follows. □

Although the formula (7.2) has been shown under the existence of limit in the definition of $\pi_R(h_0)$ as well as the strong assumption of unique equilibrium, the above proposition provides the noncommutative multivariate version of the property (IV) in Section 1. The existence of limit of this kind seems one of the major questions in random matrix theory, and recently a similar limit behavior has been investigated by A. Guionnet [6] for several particular noncommuting polynomials of interest.

To get rid of the convergence problem, we are tempted to introduce the free pressure by using the limit via a ultrafilter, as Voiculescu defined the free entropy χ^ω in [20]. Let ω be a fixed free ultrafilter, i.e., $\omega\in\beta\mathbb{N}\setminus\mathbb{N}$. Since the inside of the lim sup in (7.1) is a bounded sequence, we can define

$$\pi_R^\omega(h):=\lim_{n\to\omega}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}P_{R,n}(h)+\frac{N}{2}\log n\right)$$

for each $R > 0$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}_R^{sa}$. Then π_R^ω has the same properties as π_R, and we define its Legendre transform with respect to the duality between $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and $\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}$ by

$$\eta_R^\omega(\mu) := \inf\big\{\mu(h) + \pi_R^\omega(h) : h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}\big\} \quad \text{for } \mu \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{*,sa}.$$

As before we have $\eta_R^\omega(\mu) = -\infty$ unless $\mu \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$ and

$$\pi_R^\omega(h) = \sup\big\{-\mu(h) + \eta_R^\omega(\mu) : \mu \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)\big\} \quad \text{for } h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}.$$

Similarly, we define for $g \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$

$$\pi_R^{(2)}(g) := \limsup_{n\to\omega}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\log\int_{(M_n^{sa})_R^N} \exp\big(-n^2(\mathrm{tr}_n \otimes \mathrm{tr}_n)(g(A_1,\dots,A_N))\big)\,d\Lambda_n^{\otimes N} + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right).$$

and for $\nu \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$

$$\eta_R^{(2),\omega}(\nu) := \inf\big\{\nu(h) + \pi_R^{(2),\omega}(h) : h \in (\mathcal{A}_R \otimes \mathcal{A}_R^{op})^{sa}\big\}.$$

Furthermore, for each $a_1,\dots,a_N \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ define

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_R^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) &:= \eta_R^\omega(\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_M)}),\\
\eta^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) &:= \sup_{R>0}\eta_R^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N),\\
\tilde\eta_R^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) &:= \eta_R^{(2),\omega}(\mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)} \otimes \mu_{(a_1,\dots,a_N)}),\\
\tilde\eta^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) &:= \sup_{R>0}\tilde\eta_R^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N).
\end{aligned}$$

The next proposition can be shown as Theorems 4.5 and 6.4.

Proposition 7.3. *For every $a_1,\dots,a_N \in \mathcal{M}^{sa}$ and $R > 0$,*

$$\eta_R^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) \ge \tilde\eta_R^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) = \chi_R^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N),$$

$$\eta^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) \ge \tilde\eta^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N) = \chi^\omega(a_1,\dots,a_N).$$

Proposition 7.4. *Let $h_0 \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$ and define*

$$\mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0}(h) := \lim_{n\to\omega}\mu_{R,n}^{h_0}(h), \qquad h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}.$$

Then $\mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0}$ is an equilibrium tracial state associated with h_0 in the sense that

$$\pi_R^\omega(h_0) = -\mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0}(h_0) + \eta_R^\omega(\mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0}).$$

Moreover,

$$\eta_R^\omega(\mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0}) = \lim_{n\to\omega}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}) + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right).$$

Proof. It is immediate to see that $\mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0} \in \mathcal{T}\big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)$. By Lemma 7.1 we get for every $h \in \big(\mathcal{A}_R^{(N)}\big)^{sa}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0}(h) + \pi_R^{\omega}(h) &= \lim_{n\to\omega}\left(\mu_{R,n}^{h_0}(h) + \frac{1}{n^2}P_{R,n}(h) + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right) \\ &\geq \lim_{n\to\omega}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}S(\lambda_{R,n}^{h_0}) + \frac{N}{2}\log n\right) \\ &= \mu_{R,\omega}^{h_0}(h_0) + \pi_R^{\omega}(h_0). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the conclusion. ☐

Finally, it is worth noting that the Gibbs ensemble asymptotics (or random matrix approximation) provides a useful tool to obtain free probabilistic analogs of classical problems. For example, the free transportation cost inequality established by Ph. Biane and D. Voiculescu [1] in case of single variables was re-proven in [10] by using this tool, and the multivariate case will be discussed in our forthcoming paper.

REFERENCES

[1] Ph. Biane and D. Voiculescu, A free probabilistic analogue of the Wasserstein metric on the trace-state space, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), 1125–1138.

[2] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 2*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1997.

[3] N. P. Brown, Invariant means and finite representation theory of C^*-algebras, Preprint, 2003.

[4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I: General Theory*, Interscience, New York, 1958.

[5] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford, 1976.

[6] A. Guionnet, First order asymptotics of matrix integrals; a rigorous approach towards the understanding of matrix models, Preprint, 2002.

[7] F. Hiai, Free relative entropy and q-deformation theory, in: *Non-Commutativity, Infinite-Dimensionality and Probability at the Crossroads*, N. Obata et al. (eds.), QP-PQ, Vol. 16, World Scientific, 2002, pp. 97–142.

[8] F. Hiai, M. Mizuo and D. Petz, Free relative entropy for measures and a corresponding perturbation theory, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **54** (2002), 679–718.

[9] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *The Semicircle Law, Free Random Variables and Entropy*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.

[10] F. Hiai, D. Petz and Y. Ueda, Free transportation cost inequalities via random matrix approximation, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, to appear.

[11] R. B. Israel, *Convexity in the Theory of Lattice Gases*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1979.

[12] A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko and R. Speicher, Maximality of the microstates free entropy for R-diagonal elements, *Pacific J. Math.* **187** (1999), 333–347.

[13] A. Nica and R. Speicher, R-diagonal pairs - a common approach to Haar unitaries and circular elements, in *Free Probability Theory*, D.V. Voiculescu (ed.), Fields Inst. Commun. **12**, Amer. Math. Soc, 1997, pp. 149–188.

[14] E. B. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1997.

[15] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, I, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **155** (1993), 71–92.

[16] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, II, *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), 411–440.

[17] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory III: The absence of Cartan subalgebras, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1996), 172–199.

[18] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, IV: Maximum entropy and freeness, in *Free Probability Theory*, D.V. Voiculescu (ed.), Fields Inst. Commun. **12**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997, pp. 293–302.

[19] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher's information measure in free probability theory, V, Noncommutative Hilbert transforms, *Invent. Math.* **132** (1998), 189–227.

[20] D. Voiculescu, A strengthened asymptotic freeness result for random matrices with applications to free entropy, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1998**, 41–63.

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan